

[Teammate Grading]

Name	Grade	Evaluation Description
Jein Song	A+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although the amount of directly written content was relatively smaller compared to some other members, contributed substantially to the overall intellectual framework and logical direction of the group report. Provided foundational analytical ideas for multiple sections, including the policy implication and evaluation parts, many of which were later expanded and incorporated into the final report structure. Also played an important role in reviewing the overall coherence and flow of the report by continuously monitoring the team's progress through Google Docs and offering constructive feedback throughout the drafting process. Responsibilities assigned were completed with consistency and strong quality.
Sei Chun	A+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Served as a team leader throughout the project by coordinating meetings, managing the overall workflow, and contributing the largest amount of written content. Played a central role in restructuring and synthesizing analytical materials provided by team members into a coherent comparative analysis section. Also contributed significantly to organizing detailed content and maintaining the overall direction and progress of the project.
Yejin Lee	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contributed actively during the early stages of scenario development by offering ideas and consistently cross-checking whether the project was moving in an appropriate direction. Although participation during the later writing group report stage was comparatively less active, assigned responsibilities were completed appropriately. Payed careful attention to final proofreading tasks such as typo correction and AI plagiarism checking. Maintained a cooperative attitude throughout the project.
Jookyung Lee	A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participated actively in team meetings and demonstrated a cooperative attitude by consistently accepting and incorporating feedback from other members. Contributed to organizing comparative tables and refining existing analytical content across multiple sections. While contributions focused more on elaborating and restructuring ideas rather than initiating the overall framework, such efforts were made consistently throughout the project and contributed to the overall completeness and clarity of the report.
Ju-hui Kim	B	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Took responsibility for writing the introduction and conclusion sections. Frequent misunderstandings regarding the overall direction and analytical focus of the project, which required substantial clarification and detailed feedback from other members to maintain consistency with the report's objectives and structure. Participation and engagement during meetings were comparatively limited at times, and coordination during the drafting process occasionally created difficulties in maintaining smooth workflow and discussion. Nevertheless, assigned tasks were ultimately completed and feedback was generally reflected within a reasonable timeframe.